

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED IN OFFICE

FEB 15 1990

POLLY CONRADI
Clerk

COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES
ARCHITECTS,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama
General Partnership,
RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB,
ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH,
JEFFREY BAYER, and
IRBY M. COHEN, its
General Partners,

Defendants,

CV90 1198

CASE NUMBER:

1:87-cv-782-HTW

CERTIFICATE OF JUDGMENT

I, CHARLES T. CLIVER, Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, do hereby certify that on the 31st day of March, 1989, a Judgment was rendered in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia in the above styled cause, and registered in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama on the 14th day of February, 1990, wherein it was ORDERED by the Court that the plaintiff, COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES-ARCHITECTS, recover of the defendants, SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEN, its General Partners, the sum of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FOUR THOUSAND, SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY FOUR AND 36/100 DOLLARS (\$324,764.36), with interest thereon at the rate of 9.43% as provided by law, and costs of this action; and that Grey Howley is the Attorney of

Gregory Howley
1901-6th Ave N
Sec 2400 Am Sp. / Herbert

BOOK 279 PAGE 122

Record for COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES-ARCHITECTS in said cause.

WITNESS, My Hand and the Seal
of said Court, this the 14th
day of February, 1990.

CHARLES T. CLIVER, CLERK

BY:

Dale W. Marsh

Deputy Clerk

BOOK 279 PAGE 123

United States District Court

NORTHERN

DISTRICT OF

GEORGIA

COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES -
ARCHITECTS

CERTIFICATION OF JUDGMENT FOR REGISTRATION IN ANOTHER DISTRICT

V
SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership
RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L.
BOHORFOUSH, ET AL.

Case Number: 1:87-cv-782-HTW

I, LUTHER D. THOMAS, Clerk of this United States District Court

certify that the attached judgment is a true and correct copy of the original judgment entered in this ac-
tion on April 5, 1989, as it appears in the records of this court, and that
Date

* an appeal was taken from this judgment and is still pending in the United

States Court of Appeals. Also, filed was an Amended Notice of Appeal on

October 6, 1989, which was filed from order dated September 22, 1989 and is

still pending in the United States Court of Appeals.

IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I sign my name and affix the seal of this Court on

November 14, 1989

Date

LUTHER D. THOMAS

Clerk

Barbara D. Hatch
(By) Deputy Clerk

Insert the appropriate language: . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and no motion of any kind listed in Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure has been filed." . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and any motions of the kinds listed in Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure [] have been disposed of, the latest order disposing of such a motion having been entered on [date]." . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the judgment was affirmed by mandate of the Court of Appeals issued on [date]." . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the appeal was dismissed by order entered on [date]."

[*Note: The motions listed in Rule 4(a), Fed. R. App. P., are motions: for judgment notwithstanding the verdict; to amend or make additional findings of fact; to alter or amend the judgment; for a new trial; and for extension of time for filing a notice of appeal.]

BOOK 279 PAGE 124

United States District Court

NORTHERN

DISTRICT OF

GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION

COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES -
ARCHITECTS

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

^V
SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership,
RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH,
JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEN, its
General Partners

CASE NUMBER 1:87-cv-782-HTW

HONORABLE HORACE T. WARD

☐ Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict.

☒ Decision by Court. This action came ^{for consideration} ~~before the Court~~ before the Court. The issues have been ^{considered} ~~heard~~ and a decision has been rendered.

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff, COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES - ARCHITECTS, recover of the defendants, SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEN, its General Partners, the sum of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FOUR THOUSAND, SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY FOUR and 36/100 DOLLARS (\$324,764.36), with interest thereon at the rate of 9.43% as provided by law, and costs of this action.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE

March 31, 1989

ENTERED ON DOCKET

April 5, 1989

LUTHER D. THOMAS, Clerk

By: *L. D. Thomas*
Deputy Clerk

STATE OF ALABAMA
I CERTIFY THIS
INSTRUMENT WAS FILED

90 FEB 15 PM 3:08

JUDGE OF PROBATE

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY
CERTIFIED THIS

NOV 7 1989

Luther D. Thomas, Clerk
By: *L. D. Thomas*
Deputy Clerk

March 31, 1989

Date

1. Deed Tax	\$	
2. Mtg. Tax	\$	
3. Recording Fee	\$	10.00
4. Indexing Fee	\$	9.00
5. No Tax Fee	\$	
6. Certified Fee	\$	1.00

LUTHER D. THOMAS

Clerk

L. D. Thomas
(By) Deputy Clerk

BOOK 279 PAGE 125