IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA SOUTHERN DIVISION

FILED IN OFFICE

COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS,

Plaintiff,

FEB 15 1990

POLLY CONRADI

VS.

SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEN, its General Partners,

CASE NUMBER:

CV90 1198

1:87-cv-782-HTW

Defendants,

CERTIFICATE OF JUDGMENT

I, CHARLES T. CLIVER, Clerk of the United States District
Court for the Northern District of Alabama, do hereby certify
that on the 31st day of March, 1989, a Judgment was rendered
in the United States District Court for the Northern District
of Georgia in the above styled cause, and registered in the
United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama
on the 14th day of February, 1990, wherein it was ORDERED by
the Court that the plaintiff, COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATESARCHITECTS, recover of the defendants, SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama
General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT D. GOTLIEB,
ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEN, its
General Partners, the sum of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FOUR THOUSAND,
SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY FOUR AND 36/100 DOLLARS (\$324,764.36), with
interest thereon at the rate of 9.43% as provided by law, and
costs of this action; and that Grey Howley is the Attorney of

1901-0 6# line Most of / Harbert Par

DE 279 PAR 122

Record for COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES-ARCHITECTS in said cause.

witness, My Hand and the Seal of said Court, this the 14th day of February, 1990.

CHARLES T CLIVER, CLERK

Deputy Clerk

279 mg 123

United States Mistrict Court

_	NORTHERN	DISTRICT OF	GEORGIA
c	COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES - ARCHITECTS V.	F	FICATION OF JUDGMENT OR REGISTRATION IN ANOTHER DISTRICT
	SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. Case Number: 1:87-cv-782-HTW BOHORFOUSH, ET AL.		
	I, LUTHER D. THOMAS	, C	lerk of this United States District Court
	ertify that the attached judgment is a true and correct copy of the original judgment entered in this ac-		
-	n on April 5, 1989 as it appears in the records of this court, and that		
•	an appeal was taken from this judgment and is still pending in the United		
	States Court of Appeals. Also, filed was an Amended Notice of Appeal on		
	October 6. 1989. which was filed from order dated September 22. 1989 and is		
24	still pending in the United States Court of Appeals.		
PAGE 1	IN TESTIMONY WHEREOF, I sign my name and affix the seal of this Court on		
£ 07	November 14. 1989 Date	;	
Ś	•	LUTHE	R D. THOMAS
¥D0A	· · ·	Clerk Clerk (By) Deputy	na D. Hatches

Insert the appropriate language: . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and no motion of any kind listed in Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure has been filed." . . . "no notice of appeal from this judgment has been filed, and any motions of the kinds listed in Rule 4(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure () have been disposed of, the latest order disposing of such a motion having been entered on [date]." . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the judgment was affirmed by mandate of the Court of Appeals issued on [date]." . . . "an appeal was taken from this judgment and the appeal was dismissed by order entered on [date]."

(*Note: The motions listed in Rule 4(a), Fed. R. App. P., are motions: for judgment notwithstanding the verdict; to amend or make additional findings of fact; to alter or amend the judgment; for a new trial; and fee to extension of time for filing a notice of appeal.)

United States Bistrict Court

NORTHERN

DISTRICT OF

GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION

COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES -**ARCHITECTS**

JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE

SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and IRBY M. COHEK, its General Partners

1:87-cv-782-HTW CASE NUMBER

HITHONORABLE HORACE T. WARD

Jury Verdict. This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues have been tried and the jury has rendered. its verdict.

Decision by Court. This action came social principles before the Court. The issues have been spicits decision has been rendered...

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED that the plaintiff, COOPER, CARRY & ASSOCIATES -ARCHITECTS, recover of the defendants, SOUTHBRIDGE, an Alabama General Partnership, RAYMOND D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT D. GOTLIEB, ROBERT L. BOHORFOUSH, JEFFREY BAYER, and 1RBY M. COHEN, its General Partners, the sum of THREE HUNDRED TWENTY FOUR THOUSAND. SEVEN HUNDRED SIXTY FOUR and 36/100 DOLLARS (\$324,764.36), with interest thereon at the rate of 9.43% as provided by law, and costs of this action.

FILED IN THE CLERK'S OFFICE March 31, 1989 ENTERED ON DOCKET April 5, 1989 LUTHER D. THOMAS, Clerk Deputy Clerk

I CERTIFY THIS INSTRUMENT WAS FILED

NOV

By:

Luther D. Thomas Co.

ATTEST: A TRUE COPY

CERTIFIED THIS

JUDGE OF PROBATE

90 FEB 15 PH 3: 08

March 31, 1989

Date

S

1. Deed Tax --2. Mtg. Tax-3. Recording Fee --Indexing Fee
No Tax Fee
Certified Fee

LUTHER D. THOMAS

Clerk

11-61

TBy I Denuty Clerk