CERTIFICATE OF JUDGMENT

Case Number
CV 86 1389
Yr Number

Plaintiff. Central Bank of the South Ve		CENTIFIC	AIL OI COD MINISTER	Yr Number
Plaintiff. Central Bank of the South Judgment Start Sta		IN THE CIRCUIT	T COURT OF JEFFERSON COU	NTY
Stoneway Trail, Madison, Ab. Joyanna, Al. 35226; Ronald W. Berry, 3633 Cliff Road, B'ham. August Jr., 3587 Burntleaf Lane, B'ham., Al. 35205; L. Minsont Biggs, P.O. Box87, Florence Al. 35630; Robert B. Connor, 3603 Cliff Road, B'ham., Al. 35205; Renech F. Tichansky S. 201 Montevallo Lane, B'ham., Al. 35203; Ronald M. 52505; Rossell M. Fraser, 1903 Bruin Drive Gregory S. Windham. 402 Arnold St. Cullman., Al. 35055; Russell M. Fraser, 1903 Bruin Drive Florence, Al. 35630; Joseph C. Mitchell, 100 Century Park So., Suite 205 B'ham., Al. 35226 Plaintiff's Atty. Najjar, Denaburg, Defendant Atty Bradley, Arant: Levine B Levine JUDGMENT RENDERED IN FAVOR OF X PLAINTIF DEFENDANT JUDGMENT CONDITIONS: Normany Judgment Consent Normany Judgment JUDGMENT CONDITIONS: With Exemptions Without Exemptions With Prejudice Without Prejudice JUDGMENT CONDITIONS: With Exemptions Without Prejudice With Prejudice Without Prejudice JUDGMENT CONDITIONS: Said motion for summary judgment before the court. The Court has received no considered plaintiff's motion and supporting affidavits, and the Curt has received no in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, RENEGORY S. WINDHAM, KENNETH F. BOBBY R. LEWIS, ROBERT BE CONNOR, PHILIP AUGUST, JR., GREGORY S. WINDHAM, KENNETH F. TICHARSKY AND JOSEPH C. MITCHELL. In the sum of \$154.254.06 Counsel for the defendants wish to note their objection on the record. The Court Finds no just cause or reason for delay. This judgment is entered as a final rule (34) judgment. Judge John Bryan	Plaintiff: Centr		Judg Judg Cost Othe	ment Date 5-28-86 ment \$
Defendant Atty Bradley, Arant: Levine & Levine JUDGMENT RENDERED IN FAVOR OF X PLAINTIFF DEFENDANT JUDGMENT: Default Dismissal Detinue Workman's Comp. Pro Ami Detinue With Exemptions Without Exemptions Without Prejudice JUDGMENT CONDITIONS: With Exemptions Without Prejudice With Prejudice Without Prejudice S-28-86 Plaintiff's Motion for summary judgment before the court. The Court has a considered plaintiffs motion and supporting affidavits, and the Curt has received no in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of the plaintiff and against the defendante RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, BOBBY R. LEWIS, ROBERT BE CONNOR, PHILIP AUGUST, JR., GREGORY S. WINDHAM, KENNETH F. BOBBY R. LEWIS, ROBERT BE CONNOR, PHILIP AUGUST, JR., GREGORY S. WINDHAM, KENNETH F. TICHANSKY AND JOSEPH C. MITCHELL, in the sum of \$154.254.06 Counsel for the defendants wish to note their objection on the record. The Court Finds no just cause or reason for delay. This judgment is entered as a final rule (54) judgment. Judge John Bryan Judge John Bryan RECORDING FERS Recording Feas s. J. Co.	Stoneway Tr August Jr., AL 35205; Road, B'ham	ail, Madison, AL 35576, 3587 Burntleaf Lane, B' L. Winsont Biggs, P.O. B ., AL 35205; Kenneth F.	ham., AL 35226; Ronald W. ox87, Florence AL 35630; Tichansky S. 201 Monteva	Berry, 3633 Cliff Road, B'ham. Robert B. Connor, 3603 Cliff llo Lane, B'ham., AL 35213;
JUDGMENT RENDERED IN FAVOR OF JUDGMENT Default Default Workman's Comp. Pro Ami Pro Ami Detinue Without Exemptions Without Prejudice Without Prejudice Without Prejudice Pro Ami Pro	Plaintiff's			
JUDGMENT: Default Workman's Comp. Pro Ami Definition Default Detinue Definition Detinue Detinue				DEFENDANT
JUDGMENT: Default Workman's Comp. Pro Ami	JUDGMENT R	ENDERED IN FAVOR OF		☐ Non Suit
With Prejudice Without Prejudic	JUDGMENT:	Dismissal Detinue	│ Workman's Comp. │ Unlawful Detainer	Pro Ami
5-28-86 Plaintiff's Motion for summary judgment before the court. The Court has considered plaintiffs motion and supporting affidavits, and the Curt has received no eviendce in opposition to said motion. The Court Finds, Based upon the affidavits in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment, that the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion for summary judgment is therefore, entered receive a summary judgment as a matter of law. Summary judgment is therefore, entered in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendants RUSSELL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, in favor of the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion. The favor of the plaintiff is entitled to in support of said motion the support of said motion. The Court Finds no just cause or reason for delay. This judgment is entered as a final rule (54) judgment. SUPPORT OF THE COURT FOR SAID THE COURT FINAL PLANCE FOR SAID THE COURT F		☐ With Exemptions	☐ Without Exemptions ☐ Without Prejudice	
* *** ******	5-28-86 I considered eviendce in support receive a in favor BOBBY R. TICHANSKY	in opposition to said more of said motion for summary judgment as a most of the plaintiff and against the plaintiff and against the plaintiff and against the plaintiff and against the connor, and Joseph C. MITCHELL, ote their objection on the plaintiff and said more than the plaintiff and against the connor, and Joseph C. MITCHELL, ote their objection on the plaintiff and said more than the plaintiff and against the connormal said more than the plaintiff and against the connormal said more than the plaintiff and against the connormal said more than the connorma	tion. The Court Finds, Barry judgment, that the platter of law. Summary judgments the defendants RUSSED PHILIP AUGUST, JR., GREGO in the sum of \$154.254.0 he record. The Court Finas a final rule (54) judgment.	laintiff is entitled to dgmeth is therefore, entered LL M. FRASER, RONALD W. BERRY, ORY S. WINDHAM, KENNETH F. 6 Counsel for the defendants ds no just cause or reason for ment. Judge John Bryan