CONDITIONAL MORTGAGE SATISFACTION

## KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that Harrison Sherman Holland, Jr., and wife Carolyn M. Holland ("Mr. & Mrs. Holland"), as "Mortgagor" did on June 29, 1976, execute a mortgage to Ford Motor Credit Company, a Delaware corporation ("Ford Credit"), as "Mortgagee" to secure payment of the indebtedness represented by a promissory note executed by Mortgagor to Mortgagee on the same date (the "Note"). Said mortgage is recorded in Real Volume 355 at Page 831 of the probate records of Shelby County, Alabama.

The indebtedness of Mr. & Mrs. Holland to Ford Credit under and pursuant to the Note was established and confirmed by judgment entered on October 4, 1982, in the amount of \$458,207.72, by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, in an action styled "Harrison Sherman Holland, Carolyn M. Holland and Sherman Holland Ford v. Ford Motor Credit Company," and numbered CV-81-X-1009-S by On December 13, 1982 the indebtedness under the Note as that Court. confirmed by this judgment, was paid in full through receipt by Ford Credit on that date of a cashier's check and cash in the total amount of \$457,412.47, representing the then remaining balance due under the judgment. The Mortgagor (Mr. & Mrs. Holland), however, contest and challenge the amount paid by them as being the correct amount of their indebtedness due under the Note, contest the amount and propriety of the judgment rendered against them on October 4, 1982, and contest and deny that the Note was due and payable as provided and fixed by that judgment, in an appeal taken in the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Eleventh Circuit, in the appeal now pending in that Court styled "Harrison Sherman Holland, et al. v. Ford Motor Credit Company," and numbered 82-7356 by that Court.

BOOK 48 PAGE 671

300k

reneared on occupier 4, 1989, has been paid in Neth warm, read which acknowledges to be the fact, Mortgagor has attempted to claim and reserve the right on appeal to maintain or establish the position that said judgment is not final or correct, and have challenged their obligation to pay said judgment. Ford Credit has taken the position that the judgment is correct in all respects.

When the judgment on the Note, which is secured by the mortgage, has been affirmed or finalized in accord with law, Ford Credit says that the mortgage is <u>functus officio</u>, void, of no force and effect, and released.

FORD MOTOR CREDIT COMPANY

Its Attorney-in-Fact

STATE OF ALABAMA

JEFFERSON COUNTY

I, the undersigned Notary Public in and for said county in said state, herby certify that Hobart A. McWhorter, Jr., whose name as attorney-in-fact of Ford Motor Credit Company, a corporation, is signed to the foregoing instrument, and who is known to me, acknowledged before me on this date that, being informed of the contents of the instrument, he, as such attorney-in-fact and with full authority, executed the same voluntarily for and as the act of Ford Motor Credit Company, a corporation.

Given under my hand and official seal on this <u>If</u> day of February, 1983.

INDTARFAL SEAL)

Notary Public

My commission expires:

1-27-86

STATE OF ALL SHILLEY CO. I CEPTIFY THIS INSTRUMENT WAS FILED

1983 FEB -9 PH 2: 57

JUDGE OF PROBATE

Kee 3.00 Jud 1.00 H.00