

Corretti

1583 4187PG052

CERTIFICATE OF JUDGMENT

Case Number
CV 91 0705
Yr Number

18338

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY

Plaintiff: JAMES B. GRIMMER dba GRIMMER-DECATUR COMPANY,
SAMUEL PARKER GRIMMER dba GRIMMER-DECATUR CO.,
SUSAN L. GRIMMER dba GRIMMER-DECATUR COMPANY,
and ROSE GRIMMER dba GRIMMER-DECATUR COMPANY

Judgment Date 10/2/91
Judgment \$ 38,731.37
Costs 97.00
Other 5,817.20 (atty's fees)
TOTAL \$ 44,645.57

vs

Defendant: TERESA LEIGH WHITE dba RIVER OAKS HAIR DESIGNERS
3714 LORNA ROAD
BIRMINGHAM, AL 35216

Plaintiff's Atty. Douglas P. Corretti

Defendant's Atty. Roy Johnson, III

JUDGMENT RENDERED IN FAVOR OF		X PLAINTIFF	DEFENDANT
JUDGMENT:	☐ Default	☐ Consent	☐ Non Suit
	☐ Dismissal	☐ Workman's Comp.	☐ Pro Ami
	☐ Detinue	☐ Unlawful Detainer	
	☒ Other (See attached)		
JUDGMENT CONDITIONS:			
☐ With Exemptions	☐ Without Exemptions		
☐ With Prejudice	☐ Without Prejudice		

10/2/91 Judgment order per separate paper.

Judge Mullins

BOOK 385 PAGE 722

Dallas Corretti

4187P6053

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF JEFFERSON COUNTY, ALABAMA

JAMES B. GRIMMER, SAMUEL PARKER
GRIMMER, SUSAN L. GRIMMER, and
ROSE GRIMMER, partners d/b/a
GRIMMER-DECATUR COMPANY, an
Alabama general partnership,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

TERESA LEIGH WHITE, d/b/a
RIVER OAKS HAIR DESIGNERS,

CIVIL ACTION NO:

CV-91-0705

Filed In Open Court

This 2 day of Oct 1991

POLLY CONRADI
CLERK CIRCUIT COURT

By J. Cochran
DEPUTY CLERK

ORDER

This cause came to be heard on the 17th day of September, 1991, on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment. The Court having heard and considered said motion, finds that there is no genuine question of fact and that Summary Judgment is due to be granted in favor of Plaintiffs against Defendant, Teresa Leigh White, an individual, d/b/a River Oaks Hair Designers.

It is therefore, CONSIDERED, ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by the Court as follows:

1. Plaintiffs shall have and recover of Defendant, Teresa Leight White, d/b/a River Oaks Hair Designers the sum of Thirty Eight Thousand Seven Hundred Thirty One and 37/100 (\$38,731.37) Dollars.

2. It appears to the Court that a writ of attachment was issued in this action on August 27, 1991, and that said writ of attachment was executed by the Sheriff of Jefferson County, on the 28th day of August, 1991, by levying on certain described personal property of the defendant, as shown on said writ of attachment; and now on motion of plaintiff, it is considered, ordered and adjudged by the Court that said personal property so levied upon and described in said writ of attachment be and the same is hereby condemned and sold in satisfaction of this judgment, pro tanto, and for the sale of which let writ of venditioni exponas issue.

4187PG054

3. In addition, the Court finds that under the terms of the lease in question, plaintiffs are entitled to recover reasonable attorney fees which the Court finds and determines to be the sum of Five Thousand Eight Hundred Seventeen and 20/100 (\$5,817.20) Dollars for services performed in this cause by plaintiffs' attorney.

4. Court costs incurred in this cause are hereby assessed and taxed against defendant.

DONE AND ORDERED on this 2nd day of October, 1991.

Joseph Mullins
CIRCUIT JUDGE

BOOK 385 PAGE 724

STATE OF ALA. SHERIFF
I CERTIFY THIS
INSTRUMENT WAS FILED

92 JAN 29 AM 10:10

JUDGE OF PROBATE

1. Deed Tax	\$
2. Mig. Tax	\$
3. Recording Fee	\$7.50
4. Indexing Fee	\$5.00
5. No Tax Fee	\$
6. Certified Fee	\$1.00
Total	\$11.50

STATE OF ALA. JEFFERSON CO.
I CERTIFY THIS INSTRUMENT
WAS FILED ON

1992 JAN 23 PM 1:53

RECORDED & INDEXED
DEED TAX HAS BEEN PAID ON THIS INSTRUMENT

James R. Gault
JUDGE OF PROBATE

10501